Convener Approval Checklist

N-num	ber of the	his comp	oletec	d checklist: ISO TC184/SC4/WG10 N341
Name o	of perso	n who c	ompl	eted this checklist Matthew West
Date th	is checl	klist was	com	pleted 2001-06-08
D				
	ent revi			
	10 1501	8870		
Part 1	. 1			
Edition		. D. C		
		tee Draft		
N-num	ber: ISC) TC184	I/SC4	4/ <u>WG10</u> <u>N337</u>
				g and submitting to the Secretariat documents at any stage of approval may be found at www.stdsumm.htm .
				porting documents for SC4 part developers may be found at ww/necsdocs.htm .
	the box ent field		olies.	If "N/A" (not applicable) is checked, explain the reason the question is not applicable in the
				PROJECT TEAM REVIEW
YES	NO	N/A		
\boxtimes			1.	The project leader has dated and signed the completed checklist prior to submitting it to the Convener.
				Comments:
	\boxtimes		2.	The project leader's checklist has at least one box checked for each numbered item that applie to the part.
				Comment: Some sections that are not applicable have not been checked
\boxtimes			3.	All boxes checked "NO" or "N/A" are adequately explained.
				Comment:
\boxtimes			4.	The project team's internal reviewer has completed, dated, and signed the project team's internal review report.
				Comment:
				PART STAGE
\boxtimes			5.	This part is at stage:
			٠.	Stage 6 (IS).
				Stage 5 (FDIS).
				Stage 4 (DIS).
				□ buigo + (Dib).

YES	NO	N/A	
			Stage 3 (CD)
			☐ Stage 2 (WD) industry review.
			Comment:
			COVER PAGE
			6. The cover page has the correct format, structure, and content. (See 4.2.1 and annex A of the Supplementary directives for the drafting and presentation of ISO 10303 (SD) and http://www.nist.gov/sc4/editing/cover .)
			Comment:
			7. The N-number is present and correct. If the document has been updated, the "Supersedes" field contains the previous N-number.
			Comment:
\boxtimes			8. The date is present with the format YYYY-MM-DD.
			Comment:
			9. The part number and title have been verified with the SC4 Secretariat as being the same as that recorded by TC 184/SC4 for the project type.
			Comment:
\boxtimes			10. The part title on the cover matches the part title provided on the Scope page.
			Comment:
\boxtimes			11. The ballot stage and ballot cycle are correctly indicated.
			Comment:
\boxtimes			12. The abstract is unambiguous and supports the scope of the part.
			Comment:
\boxtimes			13. The keywords are appropriate for inquiries by interested parties.
			Comment:
			14. The Project Leader and Part Editor are correctly specified as recorded by TC 184/SC4; names, addresses, telephone/FAX numbers, and e-mail addresses are present.
			Comment: Data is present and correct; however, some of the information in the SC4 database is out of date
\boxtimes			15. The "Comments to Reader" field contains the correct required text for the part. (See http://www.nist.gov/sc4/editing/cover/cov_read.htm .)
			Comment:
			16. The "Copyright Notice" field contains the correct required text for the part at its current stage. (See http://www.nist.gov/sc4/editing/cover .)
			Comment:
			COPYRIGHT
\boxtimes			17. The copyright symbol and statement are on the bottom of page ii. They are correct and as specified by the SD. (See 4.2.2 of the SD.)
			Comment:

YES	NO	N/A	
\boxtimes			18. The correct copyright symbol is on page 1, and it is as specified by the SD. (See 4.1.4 of the SD.)
			Comment: Copyright is present on all pages as per QC N180
	\boxtimes		19. Each page of the document has the correct page header with the copyright symbol as specified by the SD. (See 4.1.4 of the SD.)
			Comment: Each page has the correct page footer with copyright, as per QC N180
			CONTENTS, ANNEXES, FIGURES, AND TABLES
\boxtimes			20. The Table of Contents (TOC) starts on page iii (right-hand side of the document). (See 4.2.2 of the SD and QC N151.)
			Comment:
\boxtimes			21. The TOC is complete and contains the information specified by the SD. (See 4.2.2, 7, or 8.1 of the SD.)
			Comment:
			22. All figures and tables have a title and are presented in the correct format as specified by the SD. (See 4.5 of the SD.)
			Comment:
\boxtimes			23. The index is present and starts on the page specified by the TOC. (See 4.2.2 of the SD.)
			Comment:
			SCOPE
			24. The Scope for the part begins on page 1 (right-hand side of the document) and the format of the page is correct as specified by the SD, including the header that is different from all other page headers for the part. (See 4.1.4, 4.1.6, 4.3.1 of the SD.)
			Comment:
\boxtimes			25. The title of the part that appears on the Scope page is the same title that is registered with SC4 for the part.
			Comment:
\boxtimes			26. The title makes proper use of upper and lower case letters, as specified by the SD. (See 4.3.1.1 of the SD.)
			Comment:
			27. All in-scope and out-of-scope aspects of the part are identified and verified. (See 4.3.1.2 and 6.2, 7.1, or 8.2 of the SD.)
			Comment:
\boxtimes			28. The scope as stated in the original New Work Item for the part:
			has been increased. A new work item will be initiated on(date).
			has been decreased. A new work item will be initiated (date).
			⊠ is unchanged.
			Comment: Wording of the scope statement has been refined but the scope itself is unchanged. Suggest that the SC4 database should be updated.
		\boxtimes	29. The Convener has notified the SC4 Secretariat of the scope changes:
			☐ Yes.

YES	NO	N/A		
				☐ The scope is unchanged.
				Comment:
\boxtimes			30.	No user requirements or definitions appear in the scope statement. (See ISO/IEC Directives Part 3:1997 clause 6.2.1.)
				Comment:
			31.	The scope statement is complete, concise, unambiguous, and conveys the scope of the part in terms that are understandable to an engineering user, an application domain expert, and a software implementor. (See 4.3.1.2 and, depending on part class, also 6.2, 7.1, or 8.2 of the SD.)
				Comment:
			32.	No user requirements or definitions appear in the scope statement. (See ISO/IEC Directives Part 3:1997 clause 6.2.1.)
\boxtimes			33.	No font sizes smaller than 2.5mm in height or 8pt size appear in any of the text, diagrams, figures, or tables. (See 4.1.3 and 5.4 of the SD.)
				Comment:
				ISSUE LOG
	П	\boxtimes	34	The issue log is up-to-date for the stage of the part:
			511	At Stage 3, there is evidence of active issue resolution (open issues are permitted).
				At Stage 4, there are no open technical issues (though there may be open editorial issues).
				At Stage 5, there are no open issues.
				Comment: No formal issues log exists for this document. Changes to the document have been based on minuted agreements from WG10 meetings.
		\boxtimes	35.	The issue log is in the proper format for the stage of the part:
				At Stage 3, the issue log is coherent, uses application domain terminology, and is complete.
				At Stage 4 and Stage 5, the issue resolutions are recorded using ISO Form 13B. (See http://www.nist.gov/sc4/forms/form13b .)
				Comment: No formal issues log exists for this document. Changes to the document have been based on minuted agreements from WG10 meetings.
	1	REQUIR	RED	SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS FOR 10303 APPLICATION PROTOCOLS
If the p	art is a	10303 ар	plic	ation protocol, check the following items:
			36.	The Validation Report is complete for the stage of the part in question. (See 5.3.2, 5.4.1, 5.4.5, and 5.6.1 of Guidelines for the development and approval of STEP application protocols (APG).)
				Comment:
			37.	Annex L contains usage scenarios for the part. Annex L is optional but you are strongly encouraged to include it. (See 4.7 and 5.4.6 of the APG.)
				Yes. The usage scenarios reflect the scope of the part.
				No. Convener and project team have agreed that usage scenarios are not needed at this Stage for this part.

YES	NO	N/A	
			Comment:
		\boxtimes	38. There is a "Technical discussions" annex (annex M if the "Usage scenarios" annex is present; annex L otherwise). The "Technical discussions" annex is optional but you are strongly encouraged to include it. (See 4.7 of the APG.)
			Yes. The "Technical discussions" annex is concise and contains useful and clarifying information about the part.
			No. The convener and project leader have agreed that technical discussions are not needed at this stage for this part.
			Comment:
		\boxtimes	39. The Abstract Test Suite that corresponds to this part is appropriately complete for the part's stage:
			☐ At Stage 3, the test purposes are complete for the ARM and are in work for the AIM.
			At Stage 5, the Abstract Test Suite is complete.
			Comment:
APPR	OVAL		
I have	reviewe	d and ve	rified the items on this document.
Matth	ew West		2001-06-08
Name			Date